

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/023,232	Applicant(s) MONOSOV ET AL.	
	Examiner Q. Janice Li, M.D.	Art Unit 1633	

All Participants:

(1) Q. Janice Li, PTO.

(2) Kate Murashige, Appl Rep..

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 November 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 11, 12

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


The examiner called to indicate the claims need to be amended to comply with the formality requirement and to reflect the corrections to the original issued claims.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Q. JANICE LI, M.D.

PRIMARY EXAMINER



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)